

Index

The letter *f* following a page number denotes a figure; the letter *t* following a page number denotes a table.

- ACCESS Florida, 178–79
- access to service opportunities, 6, 14–19, 35, 44–87, 173; faith-based versus secular nonprofits, 131–35, 137*f*; low levels of, 68–76, 228*f*; organizational type, 66, 68–76; race, 76–81, 78*f*, 145–46, 166–67, 229*t*; service area, 66–76, 227*t*, 229*t*; service cutbacks, 108–13; size of municipality, 66, 169; tract poverty rate, 64–76, 67*f*, 70–76, 145–46, 166, 171, 227*t*
- adult education, 129; English as a Second Language (ESL), 58; General Educational Development (GED), 11
- Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC), 20–21. *See also* welfare cash assistance
- annual service expenditures, 3, 13, 17, 29*f*, 60, 90, 145, 148, 193–95
- barriers to program participation, 48–49, 54, 119; administrative, 176–77; child care, 176, 179; housing instability, 180–81; low literacy, 177; program attrition, 174–75; race, 176; transportation, 14, 37, 86, 161–62, 179; waiting lists, 175; work schedules, 176
- calculating service accessibility, 64–65, 111, 133–34, 224–26. *See also* Multi-City Survey of Social Service Providers (MSSSP)
- catchment areas, 62. *See also* access to service opportunities

- causes of mismatches, 50–51, 81–85, 173–74
- characteristics of providers, 57–61, 218t; faith-based versus secular, 129–31; services available, 58–60
- Charitable Choice, 119, 123–24, 136. *See also* faith-based service organizations (FBOS)
- Chicago Department of Human Services, 156
- Child Care and Development Block Grant (CCDBG), 25, 194
- child care assistance, 25, 48, 176, 179, 194
- client characteristics, 61–62, 218t; faith-based versus secular nonprofits, 130–33
- Common Cents Program, 189
- community-based service organizations. *See* faith-based service organizations (FBOS); nonprofit service organizations
- Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), 22, 150
- Community Development Financial Institution (CDFI). *See* IFI
- Community Services Block Grant (CSBG), 22, 194
- Compassion Capital Fund (CCF), 123, 191. *See also* faith-based service organizations (FBOS)
- Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA), 22, 150
- consequences of mismatches, 85–87, 173
- Current Population Survey (CPS), 10
- cuts in services, 102, 108–17, 140–41
- data limitations, 24, 28, 35, 45, 91, 120, 125, 136, 148, 177, 197
- Deficit Reduction Act of 2005, 30, 184, 195. *See also* welfare reform: reauthorization
- demand for services. *See* calculating service accessibility; increased demand for assistance; working poor: seeking help
- devolution of program decisions, 149–56. *See also* fragmented safety net; local politics of service provision; safety net: competitive pressures; safety net: decentralized nature
- District of Columbia Department of Human Services, 200; emergency rental assistance program, 156
- Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC), 9, 17, 147; expansion, 5, 21, 29f, 30, 186; government spending, 145, 186
- emergency assistance, 9, 11, 13, 58, 60, 71f, 73f, 75f, 76, 129–30
- employment services, 54, 58–59, 70f, 72f, 74f, 76, 86, 129, 150. *See also* Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA); Job Training Partnership Act (JTPA); Workforce Investment Act (WIA)
- exclusion of low-income men, 80–81, 176
- faith-based service organizations (FBOS): accessibility compared to secular nonprofits, 131–35, 137f, 190–91; capacity-building, 123–24, 142–44, 191–92; characteristics compared to secular nonprofits, 42, 119, 121, 126, 129–33, 139–40, 222t, 223t; Charitable Choice, 119, 123–24, 136; Compassion Capital Fund (CCF), 123–24, 191; congregations, 119–20, 126–27; connections to high-poverty neighborhoods, 118–19, 141–42, 190–92, 222t; debate, 119–20; definitions of, 118, 121, 124–26, 221t; efficacy, 120, 126; expansion of sector, 40–42, 119, 122, 190–92; faith-integrated, 125, 127–44, 190–91, 222t, 223t; faith-segmented, 125, 127–44, 190–91, 222t, 223t; funding, 123, 135–41, 222t, 223t; government funding, 136, 138, 141, 223t; involvement of faith activity, 125–29, 221t; monitoring, 187; New Roots

- Providence, 124; Office of Faith-Based and Community Initiatives (OFBCI), 119, 123–24, 191; organizational characteristics, 129–31, 222t; partnerships with government and secular nonprofits, 192; private giving, 119, 142; provision of emergency assistance, 13, 222t; religious nonprofits versus congregations, 41; requirements for government support, 122–23; role within safety net, 41–42, 119, 122–24, 191–92, 222t; volatility, 140
- faith-integrated nonprofit service organizations: accessibility, 131–35, 137f; characteristics, 129–33; definition, 128; funding, 135–41; government funding of, 136, 138. *See also* faith-based service organizations (FBOs); nonprofit service organizations
- faith-segmented nonprofit service organizations: accessibility, 131–35, 137f; characteristics, 129–33; definition, 128; funding, 135–41; government funding of, 136, 138. *See also* faith-based service organizations (FBOs); nonprofit service organizations
- food insecurity, 10–11. *See also* emergency assistance; Food Stamp Program
- food pantries, 9, 11, 59, 68, 129–30. *See also* emergency assistance; food insecurity
- Food Stamp Program, 9, 17, 21, 48, 176, 194
- for-profit providers, 23–24, 57
- fragmented safety net, 145–70, 173; competitive pressures, 44, 147, 159–62, 165–70; decision-making access points, 43, 146, 148–56; federal oversight, 151; inhibiting response to need, 44, 147, 149, 155–56, 163–70; jurisdictional silos, 43, 146, 156–57, 161, 163–64, 181; political inequality, 163–65; program silos, 43, 146, 157–59, 163, 178; state and local control, 150–51; subterranean politics, 164–65; winners and losers, 165–70. *See also* local politics of service provision
- funding, 88–117, 135–41, 192–96, 218t, 219t; blockiness of, 99, 104; competition for, 116, 168; core sources, 92–98, 219t; decreases in, 98–105, 114, 139–41, 162, 168; diversification of, 99–100, 139; earned revenues, 97–98, 105, 138, 219t; faith-based versus secular nonprofits, 135–41, 223t; geography of cuts, 106–8; increases in, 105–6; Medicaid, 96–98, 105, 219t; nonprofit philanthropy, 93–94, 105, 138–39, 142, 219t; private giving, 93–94, 100, 105, 138–39, 142, 219t; public, 94–96, 219t; relationship to spatial mismatches in access, 89–91, 108–12, 115, 146, 173; replacement, 106–8, 114; resource dependency, 93, 95–106, 136, 138–39, 219t. *See also* social services: pro-cyclical nature of funding
- geography of the safety net, 6, 7, 15–16, 35–36, 44–45, 50, 84, 164–70; match to geography of poverty, 37–38, 70–76, 162–63, 169, 181–84
- government services: accessibility, 131–35, 137f; contracting, 3, 94, 99, 116; federal, 18, 21–22, 40, 88–89, 102–3, 147, 150; funding, 23, 88–89, 94, 101–3, 150, 192–96; growth, 18, 23; location, 51; provision, 17; reliance on nonprofit providers, 94, 153; state and local, 18–23, 40, 102–3, 146–47; types of services offered, 59
- health insurance, 172. *See also* working poor: lack of health coverage
- high-poverty neighborhoods: access to faith-based and secular nonprofits, 133–35, 141; access to service providers,

- high-poverty neighborhoods (*continued*)
 47–87, 166, 169, 171; definition, 63;
 instability of program resources, 106–
 8; instability of service provision, 108–
 17, 220t; lack of private resources, 15–
 16; mismatches in access to services,
 61–87, 111–12; political inequality,
 163–65, 174, 187–88; primacy of pub-
 lic programs, 95–96; social isolation,
 15–16
- housing, 59, 180–81. *See also* working
 poor: housing hardship or instability
- human services. *See* social services
- IFF (formerly Illinois Facilities Fund), 183
- Illinois Department of Human Services,
 200
- income maintenance programs. *See*
 Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC);
 Food Stamp Program; welfare cash as-
 sistance
- increased demand for assistance, 81–83,
 230t
- instability of service provision, 55, 108–17,
 140–41, 171, 173, 220t, 224t
- Internal Revenue Service (IRS) data on
 tax-exempt nonprofit organizations, 4,
 24, 60, 91, 197
- iron triangles, 157
- issue networks, 157
- Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC),
 180
- Job Training Partnership Act (JTPA), 22,
 150
- jurisdictional silos, 43, 156–57, 161, 163–
 64, 181
- King v. Smith*, 149
- local politics of service provision, 42–44,
 145–70. *See also* fragmented safety net;
 jurisdictional silos; program silos
- local relief in the nineteenth century, 18–
 20
- location decisions of providers, 51–56,
 63–64, 86, 154, 167, 182
- Los Angeles County Department of Men-
 tal Health, 153
- Los Angeles County Department of Pub-
 lic Social Services, 153, 199–200
- Los Angeles Rainbow Resource Directory,
 152
- meaning of place, 14, 36–38, 48–49, 62–63
- Medicaid, 10, 58, 147; funding of social
 services, 22, 90, 92, 96–98, 138, 194–95
- mental health services, 56–60, 68, 129;
 Medicaid funding of, 97–98
- mismatches in access to social services, 7,
 15, 44, 50, 55–56, 64, 145–46, 163, 169
- mobility of providers, 17, 37, 56, 86, 162
- Mothers' Aid. *See* welfare cash assistance
- Mothers' Pensions. *See* welfare cash as-
 sistance
- Multi-City Survey of Social Service
 Providers (MSSSP), 45, 91, 121, 136, 168,
 197–217; characteristics of study sites,
 62, 198–202; response rates, 203–6;
 survey instrument, 207–17
- National Center for Charitable Statistics
 (NCCS), 4, 89. *See also* nonprofit service
 organizations: funding; nonprofit ser-
 vice organizations: growth of sector
- National Congregations Study (NCS), 125
- National Survey of America's Families
 (NSAF), 10
- neighborhood quality, 16
- New Deal, 18
- New Hope Demonstration Project, 185–
 86
- New Roots Providence, 124. *See also* faith-
 based service organizations (FBOs)
- NIMBY (Not In My Back Yard), 53–54,
 154, 162, 169, 182–83

- nonprofit service organizations: advantaged types, 60–61, 167; advocacy, 187–88; connections to neighborhoods, 33, 37, 50, 155, 177; cross-subsidized services, 52; dependency on government resources, 32, 39, 94–95, 98–100, 136, 138, 168; disadvantaged types, 168; discretion, 32–34, 99, 162–63; diversifying funding, 188; faith-based service organizations (FBOs), 118–44, 190–92; funding, 88–117, 135–41; after the Great Depression, 20; growth of sector, 2, 4, 18, 23–24, 31–32, 89; instability of funding, 32, 92, 99–106, 138–41, 146, 167–69, 173; instability of service provision, 32, 108–12, 173, 224t; location decisions, 15, 36, 51–55, 146, 154, 167, 173; Medicaid funding of, 96–97, 106, 194–95; in the nineteenth century, 19; private giving, 52, 93, 138–39, 142, 155, 165, 188–90; public funding, 94; revenue sources, 13, 52, 92, 95, 145, 155; role within safety net, 2, 31–32, 57, 94, 167–68; secular nonprofit organizations, 118–44; street level bureaucrats, 32; strengthening civic community, 33, 37, 175, 187–88; types of services offered, 59–61, 166; volunteers, 155
- Office of Faith-Based and Community Initiatives (OFBCI), 119, 123–24, 191. *See also* faith-based service organizations (FBOs)
- outdoor relief. *See* local relief in the nineteenth century
- outreach, 49, 177
- Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA). *See* welfare reform
- person-based aid, 47. *See also* social services
- political activity, 155–56; communication with government officials, 152–54; IRS limits, 187; mobilizing community residents, 163; policy advocacy by service providers, 16, 154, 163, 187–88
- poverty, 10, 171, 173, 181; barriers to self-sufficiency, 158, 177, 194; causes of, 34; deconcentration, 16–17, 34, 38, 161–62, 167, 181; geography of, 16–17, 37–38, 43, 56, 161, 163, 167, 181–82; increased rates in suburbs, 2–3, 17, 43, 161–62, 169; official threshold, 3; political inequality, 15–16. *See also* working poor
- private philanthropy: individual private giving, 12, 52, 138–39, 142, 146, 165, 172, 188–89; limitations, 100; nonprofits and foundations, 52, 90, 100, 138–39, 142, 146, 154–55, 165, 171, 190; responsiveness to economic conditions, 103. *See also* volunteerism
- program silos, 43, 146, 157–59, 163, 178
- Providence Plan, The, 124. *See also* New Roots Providence
- public housing, 180–81
- race attitudes and social programs, 12
- race disparities in access, 53, 76–81, 78f, 84–86, 111–12, 145–46, 166–67, 176, 229t
- race to the bottom, 159–62. *See also* fragmented safety net
- reducing mismatches and instability: addressing changing geography of poverty, 181–84, 192; advocacy, 187–88; better allocation of funding, 193; budget constraints, 174; charitable giving, 188–90; collocation, 179, 182; coordinating with housing authorities, 180–81; data sharing, 178–79; diversifying funding, 190; facility acquisition assistance, 183; faith-based and community-

- reducing mismatches and instability
(continued)
 based initiatives, 190–92; foreign language capacity, 178; generating public support, 195–96; identifying adequate levels of provision, 183–84; improving connections to existing services, 175–79, 192; incentives to locate in high-poverty areas, 182–83; increasing access points, 179, 192; information technology, 177–78; maintaining program expenditures, 174, 192–96; one stops, 179, 182; outreach, 177; private giving, 188–89, 195; promote work through support services, 184–87; reducing misperceptions of safety net, 184; reforming welfare system, 184–87; regional coordination and planning, 182–84, 192; stabilizing funding, 190, 192–96; transportation solutions, 174, 179–81; understanding service utilization, 177, 192. *See also* IFF; Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC); 2-1-1 telephone system
- religious congregations. *See* faith-based service organizations (FBOS)
- religious nonprofit service organizations. *See* faith-based service organizations (FBOS)
- resource dependency, 95–106
- safety net, 1, 9; comparing historical eras, 18–19; competitive pressures, 43, 147, 159–62, 165–70; decentralized nature, 35, 147, 150–51, 155–56, 164, 181; expenditures, 3, 44, 151, 160, 174, 195; inadequacy of assistance, 5, 20; inequality within, 7–8, 15–16, 19, 163–64; institutional fragmentation, 20, 145–70; invisible features of, 13, 164–65; local politics of, 42–44, 145–71, 179; popular support, 12, 147, 164, 172; pro-cyclical nature of funding, 6, 39–40, 101–2, 115, 174, 193; public perception, 4, 12–14, 25, 44, 147, 165, 172–73, 195–96; public versus private components, 17–18, 23, 32–33, 118–24; race discrimination, 77, 176; responsiveness to changing needs, 44, 161–62, 181–84; role of nonprofits, 32–33, 94, 118–24, 153; values underlying, 5, 172–73, 195–96. *See also* devolution of program decisions; fragmented safety net; race to the bottom; transformation from cash to services
- secular nonprofit service organizations, accessibility, 131–35, 137f; characteristics, 129–31; definition, 128; funding, 135–41. *See also* faith-based service organizations (FBOS); nonprofit service organizations
- social assistance. *See* safety net; social services
- social isolation, 16. *See also* high-poverty neighborhoods
- Social Security Act (SSA): social service titles, 22, 102
- social services, 2, 9, 13; absence of entitlement, 14, 162–63; advantages as form of social assistance, 33, 155–56, 166; block grant funding, 102, 193–94; challenges of administering and coordinating, 34, 115–16, 146, 158, 171; consistency in provision, 35, 158; coping strategies for working poor, 10–11; cultural competency, 50; decision-making access points, 43, 151–56; economics of provision, 38–39; effectiveness, 34, 44; expansion after the War on Poverty, 3, 18, 21–23, 28, 88–89, 149–50; funding, 88–117, 135–41; inequality in access to, 6–7, 35, 48, 55, 66–87, 146; instability of funding, 15, 32, 39–40, 90, 101–8, 162, 165, 169, 195; instability of provision, 55, 108–17, 220t, 224t; local administration, 14–16, 36–37, 42–43,

- 146, 148, 151–56; means-testing, 10, 160; pressures to underprovide, 44; pro-cyclical nature of funding, 6, 39–40, 101–2, 115, 174, 193; proposed as solutions to reducing poverty, 5–6, 34–35, 48; public support for, 5, 12, 44–45, 164–65, 172, 192, 195–96; referrals, 37, 40, 49, 154; utilization, 11, 14, 38, 48–49, 175–76. *See also* access to service opportunities; faith-based service organizations (FBOs); funding; nonprofit service organizations
- Social Services Block Grant (SSBG), 22, 102, 194. *See also* Social Security Act (SSA)
- solutions. *See* reducing mismatches and instability
- spatial distribution of funding. *See* funding
- spatial distribution of service organizations. *See* access to service opportunities; location decisions of providers
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), 22
- substance abuse services, 56, 58–60, 68, 129; Medicaid funding of, 97–98
- subtraction ripple effect, 39, 90, 95, 114, 174–75, 192, 195. *See also* instability of service provision
- Supplemental Security Income (SSI), 10
- Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), 9, 25, 27f, 158–59, 184–85, 194; block grant, 25, 102; cash versus non-assistance, 26; expenditure levels, 26–28, 102; maintenance of effort (MOE), 25; transfers to other block grants, 26. *See also* welfare cash assistance
- transformation from cash to services, 3–4, 13, 17–18, 33, 145, 148, 166, 172. *See also* social services
- 2-1-1 telephone system, 178
- undeserving poor, 19, 108, 162
- United Way of America, 190
- United Way of Greater Toronto (UWGT), 182
- volatility in provision, 6–7, 15, 40, 55–56, 90, 108–17, 195
- volunteerism, 13, 146, 165, 172, 188–89. *See also* private philanthropy
- War on Poverty, 3, 18, 21, 23, 150, 164
- welfare cash assistance, 1, 4, 17, 147; case-loads, 3, 20–21, 26, 28, 102; decreases in assistance since 1996, 102, 166; eligibility restrictions, 149–50, 166; expenditures, 3, 12, 26, 28, 145, 148; Mothers' Aid or Mothers' Pensions, 19–20; post-New Deal expansion, 20–21; public perceptions, 12, 24–25; rise of noncash assistance, 26, 28, 30, 90, 166; trends in receipt, 20–21, 160; waivers, 150. *See also* Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC); Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF); welfare reform
- welfare magnets, 160, 162, 168
- welfare reform, 18, 123; barriers to employment, 30; caseload reduction, 25, 184; decrease of cash assistance, 4, 26, 27f, 28, 29f, 150, 186; fading of disincentives for work, 186–87; growth of noncash assistance, 26, 28, 30; implications for social service agencies, 28–31, 33, 39; maintenance of effort (MOE), 25; reauthorization, 30–32, 171, 184–85; superwaiver proposal, 194; work requirements, 25–26, 39, 184–85
- welfare state: definition, 1, 9; fragmentation of, 146, 156. *See also* safety net; social services
- Women's Employment Survey (WES), 11, 30

- Workforce Investment Act (WIA), 22, 58, 150, 158–59, 194
- working poor, 2, 4; barriers to employment, 34, 86–87, 173, 185; commuting challenges, 14, 37, 86, 161–62, 179–80; housing hardship or instability, 10, 180; knowledge of social service programs, 36–38, 49; lack of health coverage, 10; material hardship, 10–11, 34, 166, 171, 173, 176; program eligibility, 176; promoting self-sufficiency, 158, 173, 175, 186; seeking help, 10–11, 15, 48, 146, 164, 167, 176